

Jane Sherwood
Director of Regeneration and Planning

Newham Dockside 1st Floor, West Wing Dockside Road London, E16 2QU

Date: 13th July 2018

Mr Tim Halley City Aviation House, Hartmann Road Silvertown LONDON E16 2PB

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England)

Order 2015 (as amended)

Dear Sir

Application No: 18/00761/AOD

Location: City Aviation House

Hartmann Road

Silvertown London E16 2PB

Proposal: Approval of details pursuant to Conditions 92 (Construction Lighting)

and 96 (Construction Compound Operations and Hoarding) attached to planning permission 13/01228/FUL dated 26th July 2016 (Second

Submission).

The London Borough of Newham hereby gives notice with respect to your submission of details pursuant to planning permission 13/01228/FUL validated on 21st March 2018.

Conditions 92 and 96 are **APPROVED** subject to the details submitted and approved, and subject to the conditions and reasons stated within the accompanying report.

The Council has considered the provisions of the approved Updated Environmental Statement and does not consider this application to warrant the need for further Environmental Impact Assessment.

Please note that a number of inter-related submissions have been made in relation to planning permission 13/01228/FUL. In order to ensure consistency, the Council has issued Decision Notices simultaneously on the following:

Applications for Approvals of Details:

- 18/01391/AOD
- 18/00557/AOD
- 18/00576/AOD
- 18/00578/AOD
- 18/00671/AOD
- 18/00741/AOD

- 18/00761/AOD
- 18/00846/AOD
- 18/00994/AOD
- 18/01029/AOD
- 18/01290/AOD
- 18/01312/AOD

Application for a Non-Material Amendment:

18/01001/NONMAT

Application for Deed of Variation to S106 Agreement Attached to 13/01228/FUL

• 18/01637/S106

Signed:

Amanda Reid

Head of Planning and Development London Borough of Newham

Amondo Reis.

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Applicant's Rights following the Grant or Refusal of permission

1. Appeals to the Secretary of State

Should you (an applicant/agent) feel aggrieved by the decision of the council to either refuse permission or to grant permission subject to conditions, you can appeal to the Secretary of State for the Department of Communities and Local Government – Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 / Sections 20 and 21 of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. Any such appeal must be made within the relevant timescale for the application types noted below, beginning from the date of the decision notice (unless an extended period has been agreed in writing with the council):

- **Six months:** Full (excluding Householder and Minor Commercial applications), listed building, conservation area consent, Section 73 'variation/removal', Section 73 'minor-material amendment', extension of time, and prior approval applications.
- 12 weeks: Householder planning, Householder prior approval and Minor Commercial applications.
- 8 weeks: Advertisement consent applications.
- No timescale: Certificate of lawful development (existing/proposed) applications.

Where an enforcement notice has been issued the appeal period may be significantly reduced, subject to the following criteria:

- The development proposed by your application is the same or substantially the same as development that is currently the subject of an enforcement notice: **28 days of the date of the application decision**.
- An enforcement notice is served after the decision on your application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against the council's decision you are advised to appeal against the Enforcement Notice and to do so before the Effective Date stated on the Enforcement Notice.

Appeals must be made using the prescribed form(s) of The Planning Inspectorate (PINS) obtained from www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk or by contacting 03034445000. A copy of any appeal should be sent both to PINS and the council (attn: Planning Appeals Officer).

The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are exceptional/special circumstances.

The Secretary of State can refuse to consider an appeal if the council could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions it imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements and provisions of the Development Order and to any direction given under the Order. In practice, it is uncommon for the Secretary of State to refuse to consider appeals solely because the council based its decision on a 'direction given by the Secretary of State'.

2. Subsequent Application Fees

No planning fee would be payable should a revised planning application be submitted within 12 months of the decision. This 'fee waiver' is permitted only where the new application meets the following criteria:

- the applicant is the same as the applicant of the original application
- site boundary is the same as the site boundary of the original application
- the nature of development remains the same.

3. Purchase Notices

Should either the council or the Secretary of State refuse permission or to grant permission subject to conditions, the owner may claim that the land cannot be put to a reasonably beneficial use in its existing state nor through carrying out of any development which has been or could be permitted. In such a case, the owner may serve a purchase notice on the council.

This notice will require the council to purchase the owner's interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part IV of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Section 32 of the Planning (Listed Buildings Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

4. Compensation

In certain circumstances compensation may be claimed from the council if permission is refused or granted subject to condition(s) by the Secretary of State on appeal or on reference to the Secretary of State. These circumstances are set out in Section 114 and related provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Section 27 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Delegated Report Application for approval of details reserved by condition.		Newham London	
Case Officer:	Dave Whittaker	Valid Date:	21st March 2018
Application Number:	18/00761/AOD	8-Week Date:	15.05.2018
Deemed Discharge Notice:	No	PEA Date:	N/A
Address:	City Aviation House Hartmann Road Silvertown London E16 2PB		
Proposal:	Approval of details pursuant to Conditions 92 (Construction Lighting) and 96 (Construction Compound Operations and Hoarding) attached to planning permission 13/01228/FUL dated 26th July 2016 (Second Submission).		

Assessment:

	92 Construction Lighting	
	Before the Commencement of the relevant Phase of Development a Construction Lighting Scheme for that Phase shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. Details shall include appearance, siting, orientation and screening of the lights to be used during construction and the means of construction and laying out of cabling for such lights. The approved Construction Lighting Scheme shall be constructed/installed prior to Commencement of the relevant Phase and shall be removed following completion of the Phase of Development.	
Conditions 92 and 96	Reasons: To ensure that construction and community safety is not compromised. 96 Construction Compound Operations and Hoarding	
	Before the Commencement of Development details of the Construction compounds and any associated hoarding shall be submitted for approval in writing by the local planning authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.	
	Reason : To ensure a satisfactory standard of development and to safeguard the amenities of the surrounding area.	
	Demonto:	
Details Submitted and	 Reports: Condition 92 Construction Lighting – March 2018 – Version 2 Condition 96 	
APPROVED:	Construction Compound – Version 2Plans:	
	 A400-PAW-A-14-XXX-DR-GA-900-006-C-S3 A400-PAW-A-14-XXX-DR-GA-900-007-D-S3 	
Details submitted for information (Not approved):	 Cover Letter Site Location Plan 	
Officer Assessment:	This is one of a number of second submissions of Approval of Details; Conditions 92 and 96 were previously submitted and approved separately, as 17/00335/AOD and 17/00530/AOD.	
	The resubmissions are primarily a consequence of the applicant's proposed revised timetable for CADP1, which includes the removal of the	

Interim and Completion phases and their replacement with a single phase. The proposed revised timetable has been submitted separately as the Construction Phasing Plan (Condition 4).

An approval by the Council in relation to minor changes to permission 13/01228/FUL has been given since permissions 17/00335/AOD and 1700530/AOD, namely 17/02865/NONMAT, which have been incorporated where relevant into the submission under consideration.

In addition, where relevant, a number of changes are included to ensure consistency with submitted application 18/01001/NONMAT.

Condition 92

This was submitted and approved as 17/00335/AOD.

In summary, the submitted report details the location, and type of light sources that are required to illuminate the site during the construction period, and assesses the likely effect on 'sensitive receptors' (primarily residential properties to the south of the Airport) in the vicinity of the site, with the aim of minimising any adverse effects.

The report is essentially the same as that submitted as 17/00335/AOD, with a small number of minor amendments.

Condition 96

This was submitted and approved as 17/00530/AOD.

The submitted report proposes a number of changes to the arrangements for the construction compounds in relation to 17/00530/AOD, to a maximum area of about 2.0 ha. The report describes the proposed location, layout and activities of both compounds, with details included in a number of plans. Local residential properties will be protected from visual and noise intrusion by the erection of barriers around the compounds. The report concludes that the proposed changes to the compound details will not require any additional measures for mitigation.

A second period of consultation took place following the applicant's resubmission of the report with correction of material errors in the original.

External consultation (Both Conditions), as listed in Appendix 2 – either: no response or no comment.

Internal consultation (Both Conditions), as listed in Appendix 2 – either: no response or support.

The submission is consistent with all relevant Development Plan policies, as listed in Appendix 1.

The submitted details comply with the requirements of Conditions 92 and 96 of the permission.

Officer Recommendation: Approve The development shall be implemented in accordance with the Details Submitted and APPROVED listed above only.

Officer Recommendation: To approve the details identified as Submitted and APPROVED listed above, pursuant to conditions 92 and 96 attached to permission

	13/01228/FUL dated 26 th July 2016.	
Authorising officer Signature:	#3A	
Authorising officer	James Bolt, Senior Development Manager	
Date:	13 th July 2018	

Appendix 1:

The Council's decision to approve the submitted details in this instance arose following careful consideration of the relevant provisions of the Council's adopted development plan and of all other relevant material considerations, including the approved Environmental Statement.

Of particular relevance to this decision were the following Framework and Development Plan policies and ES documents:

National Planning Policy Framework (DCLG, March 2012)

The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for London (GLA, consolidated with alterations since 2011, published March 2016)

At the date of assessment of original application: Policy 6.6 – Aviation

The Mayor of London's Draft London Plan: The Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London (Draft for public consultation DECEMBER 2017) is at an "early" stage of preparation. Having regard to NPPF paragraph 216 the emerging document is now a material consideration and <u>limited</u> weight will be given to the emerging document in decision-making, unless other material considerations indicate that it would not be reasonable to do so.

The London Plan: The Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London (Draft for public consultation DECEMBER 2017) Joint Waste Development Plan for the East London Waste Authority Boroughs (adopted February 2012)

Not applicable

Not applicable

The Submission Draft Local Plan is now at an "advanced" stage of preparation, having regard to NPPF paragraph 216, following submission to the Secretary of State for independent examination. As a result, the document is now a material consideration and substantial weight will be given to the Plan in decision-making, unless other material considerations indicate that it would not be reasonable to do so. The Plan will not gain full weight until it is adopted by the Council, however it is not anticipated that there will be further material amendments to the document.

Local Plan (February 2018) Secretary of State	
Submission Version	
London Borough of Newham Local Plan: Core	
Strategy (adopted January 2012)	
London Borough of Newham Local Plan:	
Detailed Sites and Policies Development Plan	
Document (adopted 20 October 2016)	

Not applicable

Policy INF1 – Strategic Transport Policy SP2 – Healthy Neighbourhoods

Not applicable

for Planning Permission 13/01228/FUL dated 26th July 2017. This is a requirement of Condition 3 of the Permission:

EIA Approved Updated Environmental Statement

3 Environmental Statement

The Development shall be carried out in accordance with the environmental standards, mitigation measures, requirements, recommendations and methods of implementing the Development contained in the Updated Environmental Statement (UES) and revisions, February 2016.

The covering letter includes Appendix 2 – Statement of Conformity with the Updated Environmental Statement

Reason: To ensure that the Development is carried out in accordance with the UES, dated September 2015, and the mitigation measures proposed therein.

Appendix 2:

Consultations:		
Consultee:	Date Consulted:	Summary of response:
Civil Aviation Authority	21st March 2018	No response
L City Airport Consultative Committee	21st March 2018	No response
Greater London Authority	21st March 2018	No response
LBN Environmental Health - Pollution General	21st March 2018	Support
Natural England	21st March 2018	No comment
DLR - Robert Niven	21st March 2018	No response
Highways Team	21st March 2018	No response
Transport For London - London Underground	21st March 2018	No response
LBN Urban Design & Conservation	21st March 2018	No response
LBN Transportation	21st March 2018	No response
Transport for London	21 st March 2018	No comment
L City Airport Consultative Committee	27th March 2018	No comment
Greater London Authority	27th March 2018	No comment
Civil Aviation Authority	27th March 2018	No comment
LBN Environmental Health - Pollution General	27th March 2018	No response
Environment Agency	27th March 2018	No response
Natural England	27th March 2018	No comment
DLR - Robert Niven	27th March 2018	No response
Highways Team	27th March 2018	No response
Transport For London - London Underground	27th March 2018	No response
LBN Urban Design & Conservation	27th March 2018	No response
LBN Transportation	27th March 2018	No response
Transport for London	27 th March 2018	No comment

Informative:

In dealing with this application, Newham Council has implemented the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner. As with all applicants, we have made available detailed advice in the form of our statutory policies in the relevant constituent parts of the Local Plan and London Plan, Supplementary Planning documents, and all other Council guidance, as

well as offering a full pre-application advice service, so as to ensure that applicant has been given every opportunity to submit an application which is likely to be considered favourably.