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Appendix C Indicative layout for agreed Supermarket Scheme
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R Mays Esq
Mays Properties Ltd
The Tower Unit A5
Fairacres Retail Park
Abingdon
OX14 1TP
$10^{\text {th }}$ January 2024

Dear Richard,

## RE: MILTON, DIDCOT

Further to our recent telephone conversation I am pleased to hear that the Local Planning Authority have confirmed they will pass your Milton roadside and retail scheme application P22/V1121/O for approval under their scheme of delegation. I wanted to drop you a note confirming current tenant interest.

As we have discussed, under normal circumstances this comprehensive scheme with access as consented could immediately be progressed through to a full market campaign from which I am confident we would easily finalise financially viable terms on all aspects with interested parties.

Unfortunately, due to the confusion and uncertainty around the proposed Didcot Garden Town Housing Infrastructure Fund road improvements scheme (HIF1), this will not be possible at the current time. As discussed on the telephone, during my discussions with operators, they have expressed a certain degree of frustration that we are not able to progress to agreements for lease straight away, which leaves uncertainty over their plans for this expanding locality. Hopefully, we will get more clarity regarding this HIF1 road scheme in the coming months so that we can communicate defined timescales with these operators and progress negotiations.

Putting aside that issue, the proposals we have for the roadside and retail scheme have been extremely well received by operators to date.

We have had initial discussions with a number of operators based on the attached site layout plan (2115_0015_P07) showing the supermarket, EV forecourt and drive thru. The proposed floor areas and uses are as follows:

- Building A: Electric Vehicle Charging Forecourt - use class Sui Generis - Forecourt building floor area: 790 sq m (8,503 sq ft)
- Building B: Supermarket - Use class E - 2044 sq m (22,000 sq ft)
- Building C: Drive thru - Use class E / Sui Generis - Floor area: 206 sq m (2,217 sq ft)

E: info@cspretail.com cspretail.com

WITH STAUNTON WHITEMAN

We have keen initial interest in each phase as follows:

## Building A (EV Forecourt)

We have had approaches from Gridserve and Applegreen for a forecourt concept and Instavolt, Zest and Osprey are all interested in providing EV charging hub concepts on the scheme. We have been extremely pleased with the level of interest received to date and the type of lease terms on offer. As you know we have started to progress more detailed discussions with our preferred operator who are very keen to fully agree terms albeit given the current complications with HIF1 we have been unable to finalise the position.

## Building B (Supermarket)

We have very keen interest from both Lid and Aldi in leasehold deals on the Supermarket and as you know, they both have an urgent requirement for this location and are keen to have presence here as soon as possible. Both operators have confirmed that the layout can be adapted to suit their standard requirements and both operators are keen to submit financial proposals when we can confirm likely timescales.

Building C
We have exceptionally strong demand from the drive thru market from a range of operators including:

Starbucks - 2,000 sq ft
Café Nero - 2,000 sq ft
Burger King - 2,500 sq ft
Popeyed - 2,500 sq ft
Slim Chickens - 2,500 sq ft
Gregg - 2,000 sq ft KFC - 2,300 sq ft

The drive-thru market is currently extremely strong and active and the operators are keen to submit financial proposals in order that we can pre-let the space.

As you can see demand for every element of the scheme is currently very strong. We have the ability to pre-let all of the space to create a viable scheme. The risk of the continued delays and confusion over timescales is that demand from these operators wains in the event that retail sales decline while we wait for delivery of the HIF1 scheme and the associated improvements to the A4130. Any certainty we could achieve over deliverability would assist us moving forward.

Yours sincerely


## LUKE SOWERBY

02071992977 - 07584245664 - luke@cspretail.com
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From: Wisdom, Aron - Communities [mailto:Aron.Wisdom@Oxfordshire.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 January 2020 12:35
To: Tim Foxall
Cc: 'Richard May (rmay@maysproperties.co.uk)'; Frank Bailey; 'Utting, Mark
(Mark.Utting@carterjonas.co.uk)'; Harbour, Susan; Sean.Thornton-Mills@southandvale.gov.uk; Pedley, Will - Communities
Subject: RE: Mays / Minscombe Lands South of A4130, Milton

Afternoon Tim,

I was only discussing this at our project team meeting yesterday and that we need to take the RM layout into account so this is very timely. Thank you. I have passed to my consultant.

I have called Chris D'Olley again today and left a message. If progress is not made by the end of Feb, I will take to my board a preferred way of proceeding. Land negotiations will start very shortly with our surveying consultant. Th redline they are working to currently includes the roundabout junction.

As to our meeting on 5 Feb, I was hoping to rearrange this, if possible? I have a 3-line whip to attend another meeting at County Hall that, unless it is long planned leave or I'm on my deathbed, I need to attend. Is it possible to rearrange to one of the following dates:

- Thurs 6 Feb (10am until 1pm) - County Hall
- Fri 7 Feb (10am until 12pm) - County Hall
- Tues 11 Feb (anytime) - County Hall
- Wed 12 Feb (anytime until 2.30pm) - Didcot
- Friday 14 Feb (am) - Didcot

My apologies for the inconvenience this may cause.

Kind regards,

```
Aron
Aron Wisdom BA (Hons) MSc
Major Projects Development Lead
Planning \& Place
Oxfordshire County Council \| County Hall | Oxford | OX1 1ND
Tel: 07776244856
aron.wisdom@oxfordshire.gov.uk
Oxfordshire's Walking \& Cycling Design Standards: www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/designstandards
From: Tim Foxall <TFoxall@glanvillegroup.com>
Sent: 24 January 2020 11:32
To: Wisdom, Aron - Communities <Aron.Wisdom@Oxfordshire.gov.uk>
Cc: Davis, Harry - Communities <Harry.Davis@Oxfordshire.gov.uk>; 'Richard May (rmay@maysproperties.co.uk)' <rmay@maysproperties.co.uk>; Frank Bailey <frank@minscombe.co.uk>; 'Utting, Mark (Mark.Utting@carterjonas.co.uk)'
<Mark.Utting@carterjonas.co.uk>; Harbour, Susan <susan.harbour@southandvale.gov.uk>;
```

Aron,

Trust you are well.

I know you are hoping to conclude matters with Mr Mockler this month and we look forward to an update in that respect on $5^{\text {th }}$ February when we are diarised to meet; however has there been any movement since we last corresponded?

Irrespective, as you know, we are collectively very much still of the view that the roundabout offers the best all round solution for all parties and are therefore fully supportive of it being brought forward. This support is of course caveated in so much as we cannot afford for any new highway infrastructure to adversely impact upon Mays ability to implement their approved RM layout.

As such, we have undertaken a piece of work to derive what we are referring to as a 'sacrosanct' line beyond which we couldn't allow new highway infrastructure to encroach, i.e. a line which denotes the limit of highway works which if extended beyond, would render it impossible to implement the approved RM layout.

This sacrosanct line is illustrated on the attached drawings and therefore we would ask that as your consultants progress any preliminary design work, they do so ensuring that all works stay to the north of the identified line, coordinates for which are duly provided. I would draw your and their particular attention to the tie-in point at the western end of the extent of highway works, where the sacrosanct line is very close to the existing highway boundary.

You will see that there is a willingness here to offer a considerable strip of land for adoption as public highway, further underlining the commitment towards delivery of this proposal.

I trust this is helpful and please do call should you wish to discuss matters further.
Regards

Tim Foxall BA (Hons) MIHT
Director - Transport \& Highways

| 01235515550 | TFoxall@glanvillegroup.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| 07977980317 | www.glanvillegroup.com |
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