



## The Planning Inspectorate

### **CORRIDOR BETWEEN THE A34 MILTON INTERCHANGE AND THE B4015 NORTH OF CLIFTON HAMPDEN**

**CASE REF: APP/U3100/V/23/3326625**

**CASE REF: NATTRAN/SE/HAO/286 (DPI/U3100/23/12)**

The purpose of this note is to assist the parties with arrangements for witnesses and preparation for the forthcoming Planning Inquiry. The running order and timings for the Orders Inquiry will be reviewed during the March adjournment.

I have reviewed the submitted proofs of evidence, but have not completed my preparation, I therefore apologise if there is anything that should be evident from the proofs of evidence that I have overlooked.

I note that in some instances the NPCJC has more than one witness per topic. This is acceptable to me provided they are covering different aspects of the topic and will not be repeating evidence that has already been presented by a colleague.

My note dated 12 January omitted to include the appellant in the opening statements. My intention is that opening statements would commence with OCC as appellant, and then OCC as the LPA. I also would remind the parties that opening statements should be limited to about 15 minutes per party.

A number of the proofs of evidence have helpfully indicated the topics they wish to address., using the topic numbers within my note dated 12 January. I have used this to inform the attached table which is based on an initial look at the proofs of evidence. It may be that I have overlooked a topic that you have commented on, if so, please let the Programme Officer know as soon as practical, but in any event by **noon Friday 9 February**. This information, together with the time estimates will be used to provide a draft timetable. Having regard to the areas covered by the proofs of evidence, I intend to start with Topic 1, followed by topics 2, 3, and 4. I would expect each party's evidence in relation to topic 2,3, and 4 to be heard together. In some instances this may involve sequential witnesses. I would welcome the views of the parties, as to whether it would be preferable to hear the evidence for Topic 1 at the same time as topics 2, 3, and 4.

We will then hear the evidence in relation to topics 5 –12 and conclude with topics 13 and 14, where each party's evidence should be heard together.

Once time estimates for evidence in chief and cross examination have been submitted the Programme Officer will prepare a timetable for the Planning Inquiry.

The running order and programme for the Orders Inquiry will be addressed separately.

*Lesley Coffey*

Planning Inspector

6 February 2024

## List of Topics and Witnesses for Planning Inquiry

1	OCC – 4 witnesses East Hendred Parish Council Roger Turnbull NPCJC Russell Harman NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye POETS Roger Williams VoWHDC/SODC Emma Baker UKAEA Steve Senscall/Tim Foxall CEG
2, 3, 4	OCC East Hendred Parish Council Roger Turnbull NPCJC Russell Harman NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye POETS Roger Williams VoWHDC/SODC Emma Baker
6	OCC NPCJC Chris Hancock NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye
7	OCC NPJC Chris Hancock NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye
8	OCC NPCJC NG Chien Xen NPCJC Russell Harman NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye
9	OCC NPCJC Chris Hancock NPCJC Russell Harman NPCJC Sam Casey Rerhaye
10	OCC
11	OCC
12	OCC NPCJC Russell Harman
13	OCC NPCJC Alan James
14	OCC East Hendred Parish Council Roger Turnbull NPCJC Chris Hancock NPCJC Angela Jones NPCJC Russell Harman NPJC Sam Casey Rerhaye POETS Richard Tamplin VoWH DC Adrian Butler SODC Emma Bowerman UKAEA Professor Sir Ian Chapman UKAEA Steven Sensecall UKAEA Tim Foxall CEG May's Properties Ltd Gareth Roberts